

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,120 04/02/2004		04/02/2004	Thomas J. Bachinski	293/008CONT5 2904		
1473	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G			
ROPES & C	RAY LL	P				
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK NY 10020-1105			3731			

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~			

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/817,120	BACHINSKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael G. Mendoza	3731		
	10/817,120 Examiner	10/817,120 BACHINSKI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	Michael G. Mendoza	3731	
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILI	ED 31 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. X The reply w this applica places the	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of tion, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, aft application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The per no even Examin TWO M	riod for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. iod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth t, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abov	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 he date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origive, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice	of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be office of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They	raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	TE below);	
appe	are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially real; and/or		the issues for
	present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
non-allowa	posed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, ble claim(s).		
how the ne	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) wi w or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: lowed:	II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) of	ojected to: jected:		
, ,	thdrawn from consideration: OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affiday because a was not ea	it or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Noplicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidate rier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
entered be showing a	it or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	ivit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after e RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ntry is below or attac	nea.
11. X The reque	est for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i inuation Sheet.	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	
13. Other:		GLENN K. DAWS PRIMARY EXAMII	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Sakura, Jr. does not teach or suggest the formation of a fluid-tight connection between an opening in a sidewall of a vessel. The limitation "wherein the hooks are configured to engage two blood vessel to form a fluid-tight connection between an opening in the sidewall of one of said two blood vessels and the other of said two blood vessels" is not a method step. The limitation is fuctional limitation of a structure. The Examiner suggests amending the claim to provide the limitation as a method step. The prior art to Sakura, Jr. reads on the method steps of surrounding hook with a mechanical element; inserting the compressed hooks ito an aperture of a blood vessel; and releasing the hooks, wherein surrounding comprises inserting the hooks into a wire loop.